For the Northern District of California

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	TOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	EDWARD J. PROUDFOOT and No. C 09-01492 WHA
11	MICHELLE MILLIORN,
12	Plaintiffs, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
13	V.
14	CITY OF OAKLAND, et al.,
15	Defendants.
16	
17	Defendant has filed a motion to dismiss this action, and the motion is currently
18	scheduled to be heard August 20, 2009. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3, any brief in
19	opposition to the motion was due July 30, 2009, but no such opposition was received.
20	Plaintiffs' counsel had previously indicated that, due to the death of plaintiff Edward J.
21	Proudfoot, an amended complaint will be necessary. The motion, however, has not been
22	withdrawn and remains on the calendar. Plaintiffs are ORDERED to respond by AUGUST 5 ,
23	2009, AT NOON , and show cause for the failure to respond. If plaintiffs fail to respond, the
24	motion may be granted as unopposed. Alternately, if plaintiffs do not oppose the motion they
25	must file a statement of non-opposition in accordance with Civil Local Rule 7-3(b).
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.
27	1.m Alma

Dated: August 3, 2009.

28

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE